

Scheme II ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ (a) $\mathrm{LiCH}_{2} \mathrm{NC}, \mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{DMF}, 51 \%$ yield. (b) $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO},(\mathrm{COCl})_{2}$, $99 \%$ y ield. (c) $\mathrm{MeC} \equiv \mathrm{CLi}, \mathrm{THF}, 69 \%$ yield. (d) $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO},(\mathrm{COCl})_{2}$, $98 \%$ yield.
of highly oxygenated sesquiterpenes will be the subject of future communications.
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## High Enantioselectivity in Reductions with a Chiral Bis(NADH) Model Compound
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The stereospecificity in parallel with the remarkable rate enhancement under mild conditions which are available in enzyme reactions have attracted and prompted organic chemists interested in synthesis to simulate the enzymic stereospecificity apart from the rate acceleration.

In order to gain further insight into the detailed mechanism of the alcohol dehydrogenase catalyzed hydrogen transfer in vivo and also examine the potentiality of asymmetric reduction in nonenzymic synthesis, some simplified systems involving chiral 1,4-dihydronicotinamides as a coenzyme mimic have been devised, in which "biomimetic" asymmetric reductions have been developed with some measure of success. ${ }^{1}$
In the course of our studies on asymmetric reduction ${ }^{1 f-j}$ of activated carbonyls and carbon-carbon double bonds by the use of chiral 1,4-dihydronicotinamide (NAH) derivatives carrying polar groups in the chiral 3-carbamoyl moiety, we have found that one of the diastereotopic faces of dihydropyridine nucleus is specifically blocked in situ by the oxidized form NA through a charge-transfer interaction. This is further consolidated by the intermolecular chelation of intervening magnesium with the side chain hydroxyl groups of both nicotinamides, thus permitting an easier access of the substrate carbonyl to the unhindered face of dihydronicotinamide. ${ }^{18}$
The exogenously orienting effect of the oxidized form which accumulated during the conversion was cogently supported by the stereochemical evidence ${ }^{18}$ and was in fact realized by the initial addition of the oxidized form NA as well as the external addition of either chiral or achiral aromatics capable of chelating and/or CT complexing. ${ }^{\text {If }}$

On the basis of this rationale, it would be possible to effect the specific blockage of the dihydronicotinamide face more securely by means of an intramolecular device, eventually leading to much-improved asymmetric yields.
Thus designed and prepared are the novel chiral bis(NADH) model compounds whose intended $C_{2}$ symmetry constrains the equivalent dihydropyridine nuclei to block the specific face of each other. In principle, this constitutes another advantage of the bis compounds over the corresponding mono derivatives ( $C_{1}$ ) in enantioselectivity.
In accord with the expectation, higher enantiomeric excess of the reduction products was obtained by the use of bis(NAH) 1, 2 , and $\mathbf{3}^{2}$ involving L-prolinamide as the chirality-inducing center,
(1) (a) A. Ohno, T. Kimura, S. Oka, and Y. Ohnishi, Tetrahedron Lett., 757 (1978); (b) H. J. Ramesdonk, J. W. Verhoeven, U. K. Pandit, and Th. J. de Boer, Rec. Trav. Chim. Pay-Bas, 97, 195 (1978); (c) T. Endo, H. Kawasaki, and M. Okawara, Tetrahedron Lett., 23 (1979); (d) J. G. de Vries and R. M. Kellogg, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 101, 2759 (1979); (e) A. Ohno, M. Ikequchi, T. Kimura, and S. Oka, Ibid., 101, 7036 (1979); (f) T. Makino, T. Nunozawa, N. Baba, J. Oda, and Y. Inouye, Tetrahedron Lett., 1683 (1979); (g) T. Makino, T. Nunozawa, N. Baba, J. Oda, and Y. Inouye, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I, 7, (1980); (h) N. Baba, T. Makino, J. Oda, and Y. Inouye, Can. J. Chem., 58, 387 (1980); (i) N. Baba, J. Oda, and Y. Inouye, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 815, (1980); (j) Y. Inouye, "Abstracts of Papers", Second Chemical Congress of the North American Continent at Las Vegas, Aug., 1980.

Table I. Reduction of Several Substrates with Chiral NAH Model Compounds

|  |  |  |  |  | product |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| run ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NAH } \\ & \text { model } \end{aligned}$ | substrate | $\begin{gathered} \text { react } \\ \text { temp, }{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | react period, $h$ | chem yield, \% | $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}, \operatorname{deg}(c)$ | \% ee | config | ref |
| 1 | 1 | $\mathrm{PhCOCO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ (4) | 50 | 2 | 79.8 | -97.6 (0.267 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ ) | 93.5 | $R$ | 6 |
| 2 | 1 | 4 | room temp | 1 | 66.6 | -102.4 (1.75 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ ) | 98.1 | $R$ | 6 |
| 3 | 2 | 4 | room temp | 23 | 61.5 | -35.4 (0.654 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ ) | 34.0 | $R$ | 6 |
| 4 | 3 | 4 | room temp | 23 | 69.5 | -38.0 (0.621 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ ) | 36.4 | $R$ | 6 |
| 5 | 1 | 4 | 40 | 1 | 37.0 | -23.2 (0.646 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ ) | 18.4 | $R$ | 6 |
| 6 | 1 | $\mathrm{PhCOCF}_{3}(5)$ | 50 | 11 | 5.9 | +6.6 (0.120 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ ) | 49.5 | $S$ | 7 |
| 7 | 1 | - $\sim_{0} \mathrm{COMe}^{(6)}$ | 60 | 16.5 | 66.9 | $+50.8\left(1.64{ }^{\text {c }}\right.$ ) | 89.7 | $R$ | 8 |
| 8 | 1 |  | 50 | 100 | 71.5 | $-123.3\left(4.12^{e}\right)$ | $i$ |  | 9 |
| 9 | 1 | $\mathrm{Ph}(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}$ (8) | room temp | 192 | 16.8 | $+4.1^{f}\left(0.640^{g}\right)$ | 23.2 | $R$ | 10 |
| 10 | 1 |  | room temp | 67 | $100^{\text {b }}$ | $-19.3\left(0.150^{h}\right)$ | 38.1 | $R$ | 11 |

${ }^{a}$ All the reductions were run in a mixture of dry acetonitrile and dry chloroform ( $3: 1$ by volume) except run 5 where absolute ethanol was used as reaction medium. ${ }^{b}$ Reaction conversion. ${ }^{c}$ Dry ethanol. ${ }^{d}$ Benzene. ${ }^{e}$ Chloroform. $f$ Optical rotation at 546 nm . g $95 \%$ ethanol. ${ }^{h}$ Water. $i_{\alpha}$-Pyridylbenzyl alcohol obtained here showed, after the repeated careful purifications for enantiomeric integrity, the specific rotation by far higher than the recorded maximum value ( $\pm 86.2^{\circ}$ ).
with substrate carbonyls 4-7, 9 and carbon-carbon double bond in 8. To our knowledge, the practically complete asymmetric induction attained here (Table I, run 2) with bis(NADH) model 1 is the highest recorded so far in this type of reduction.



The experimental procedure is exemplified by a typical run (Tabl I, run 2): the bis(NAH) 1 ( $904 \mathrm{mg}, 1.66 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), magnesium perchlorate ( $371 \mathrm{mg}, 1.66 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and ethyl benzoylformate 4 ( $296 \mathrm{mg}, 1.66 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in a mixture of dry acetonitrile $\left(600 \mathrm{~mL}\right.$ ) and dry chloroform ( 200 mL ) were stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a nitrogen atmosphere for 1 h in the dark. After the usual workup, ${ }^{18}$ the reduction product ethyl ( $R$ )-mandelate was isolated pure in $66.6 \%(199 \mathrm{mg})$ chemical and $98.1 \%[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-102.4^{\circ}(c$ $1.75, \mathrm{EtOH}$ ), optical yields. The aqueous layer resulting from the workup was submitted to the modified sodium hydrosulfite reduction ${ }^{2}$ to regenerate bis(NAH) 1 in a $42 \%$ recovery, which was recycled for further reductions to reproduce the same level of asymmetric induction.

As can be seen from the tabulated data, other substrates 5-9 when reduced with bis(NAH) derivatives carrying the same chiral center afforded the corresponding products of $R$ configuration, except for 1-phenyl-2,2,2-trifluoroethanol with $S$ configuration, in various chemical and optical yields, in which the reaction conditions were not optimized for individual runs.
(2) The reductants $1-3$ were prepared from the corresponding oxidized forms bis(NA) by the modified sodium hydrosulfite reduction: Sodium hydrosulfite ( 12.5 g ) was added to a solution of the dinicotinium salts ( 1 g ) in a carbon dioxide saturated solution of sodium bicarbonate ( 3 g in 50 mL of water), and the mixture was stirred vigorously. When foaming was extinguished, additional water ( 50 mL ), anhydrous sodium carbonate ( 17.5 g ), and chloroform ( 100 mL ) were added and stirred for 5 h at ambient temperature in the dark. The chloroform layer containing the bis(NAH) was washed with water and dried over sodium sulfate, which was used as such for the reduction. UV, IR, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$, and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR and TLC analyses fully substantiated the proposed structure at both the oxidized and the reduced forms: 1: $[\alpha]^{25}-25.6^{\circ}$ (c 2.03, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ; \mathrm{UV}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 344 \mathrm{~nm}\left(\epsilon 7.95 \times 10^{3}\right) .2:[\alpha]^{25}-23.3^{\circ}(c 4.35$, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ; \mathrm{UV}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 348 \mathrm{~nm}\left(\epsilon 7.67 \times 10^{3}\right)$. 3: $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-72.0^{\circ}(c) 3.28$, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ; \mathrm{UV}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 346 \mathrm{~nm}\left(\epsilon 7.69 \times 10^{3}\right)$.

The stereochemical outcome cogently supports the view ${ }^{3}$ that the specific blockage of the diastereotopic face of NAH is at least one of the prime considerations in designing a highly effective NADH reductant. The stereochemical requirements for this specific blockage and therefore higher enantioselectivity were fulfilled by the molecular architecture of $p$-xylene-bridged bis(NAH) 1 which showed practically complete enantioselectivity in contrast to the poor performance of the ortho and meta congeners.

Dependence of the chemical and optical yields on magnesium was shown by an experiment in which ethyl benzoylformate was reduced with 1 in the presence of varying amount of magnesium. Thus the maximum enantiomeric excess was attained at $1: 1$ $\mathrm{Mg}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2} / \mathrm{bis}(\mathrm{NAH})$ and remained constant thereafter. Of even more importance is the finding that the enantiomeric excess does not alter at all with reaction conversion. This is in striking contrast with our previous observation ${ }^{1 \mathrm{i}}$ in the reduction with mono-NAH derivatives where the oxidized form NA substantially affected the steric course of reduction by participating in the transition state. The observation in the present system shows that the bis(NAH) reduction is a kinetically controlled single process and the participation of the oxidized form in situ may be safely ruled out. Actually, the initial addition of the oxidized bis(NA) form of 1 to the standard system (run 2) did not affect the stereochemistry of the reduction as indicated by the observed constancy in the enantiomeric excess.
Upon addition of magnesium perchlorate to 1 , the carbonyl absorption band at $1680 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ shifted to a lower frequency, whereas the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ band at $1605 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ shifted to a higher value. This obviously shows that magnesium ion complexes to the primary amide carbonyl oxygen ${ }^{4}$ of prolinamide. Other information about the complexation was supplied from a UV study which showed the formation of a $1: 1$ complex between the bis(NAH) $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{~ M g}$ ion as corroborated by the mole ratio method. ${ }^{5}$ The spectral
(3) This is also supported by the high enantioselectivity found for the self-immolative asymmetric reduction with a 4 -methyl-substituted dihydronicotinamide, ${ }^{16}$ irrespective of another chirality residing on the 3-carbamoyl side chain.
(4) (a) M. Hughes, R. H. Prince, and P. Wyeth, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 40, 713 (1978). (b) M. Kanzaki, M. Nonoyama, and K. Yamazaki, Kagaku, 27, 1182 (1972).
(5) J. H. Yoe and A. L. Jones, Ind. Eng. Chem., Anal. Ed., 16, 111 (1944).
(6) R. Roger, J. Chem. Soc., 2168 (1932).
(7) J. Jurczak, A. Konowal, and Z. Krawczyk, Synthesis, 258 (1977). H. M. Peters, D. M. Feigl, and H. S. Mosher, J. Org. Chem., 33, 4245 (1968).
(8) M. Imuta and H. Ziffer, J. Org. Chem., 43, 3530 (1978).
(9) A. G. Davies, J. Kenyon, and K. Thaker, J. Chem. Soc., 3394 (1956).
(10) D. Cabaret and Z. Welvart, J. Organomet. Chem., 80, 199 (1974).
evidence, combined with the stereochemical outcome that the enantiomeric excess of the product mandelate was at a maximum by the use of equimolar bis $(\mathrm{NAH}) 1$ and Mg , shows that the stereochemical requirements are accommodated well in the stoichiometric intramolecular chelation complex which exhibits the highest stereospecificity and is unaffected by an excess of metal ion.

It then seems likely that the operating bis(NAH) 1 assumes a $C_{2}$ conformation with the specific pro- $R$ or pro- $S$ hydrogens of the two juxtaposed equivalent dihydropyridine nuclei disposed outside and the $C_{2}$ axis passing through the interposing Mg and the center of the $p$-xylene bridge (10).


Acknowledgment. This work was supported in part by a grant in aid (521316) from the Ministry of Education of Japan for which we are deeply grateful.
(11) E. T. Stiller, S. A. Harris, J. Finkelstein, J. C. Keresztesy, and K. Folkers, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 62, 1785 (1940).

## Total Synthesis of ( $\pm$ )-Maritimol
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Diterpenoids of the aphidicolane (1)-stemodane (2) type, including aphidicolin (1) as well as maritimol (2a) and other Stemodia components ( $\mathbf{2 b - d}$ ), have attracted attention not only because of their novel structures but also because of certain biomedical and pharmacological properties. ${ }^{1-4}$ We wish now to



1
$2 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{OH} ; \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$
b, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$
c, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{OH} ; \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$
$\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{O} ; \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$

[^0]disclose the first maritimol ( $\pm$ ) total synthesis, a stereospecific, nonrelay route which parallels in significant respects the probable biogenesis of this natural product. ${ }^{5}$
Alkylation of phenylgeranyl thioether ${ }^{6}$ anion (generated by the action of 1.1 equiv of $n-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{Li}$ on the thioether in THF at -78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) with 2-methyl-4-(chloromethyl)anisole ${ }^{7}$ ( 1.1 equiv in THF at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) gave rise to the coupling product 3 a ( $85 \%$ after chromatography on $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ ). Reductive desulfurization with Li

$3 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ b, $R=H$


4
(3 equiv in $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) generated ( $90 \%$ ) polyene 3 b [bp 111 $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.03 \mathrm{mmHg})\right]$, which was converted via the terminal bromohydrin to the epoxide 4 ( $71 \%$ from 3 b) ${ }^{8}$ (first 1.1 equiv of NBS in 5:1 THF- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then excess $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ at room temperature, followed by $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ chromatography).
As a variant of the biocyclization process, Lewis acid treatment $\left(\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{SnCl}_{4}\right)$ of oxide 4 in aprotic solvent $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ or $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) produced $25-50 \%$ hydrophenanthrene $5,{ }^{9}$ initially as a clear gum but crystalline (mp $103-105^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) after $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ chromatography. In order to prepare


5


6a, $R=H$
b, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$
for the Diels-Alder reaction planned for construction of a fourth ring, the aromatic moiety in 5 was subjected to a Birch-type reduction (200 equiv of Li in 4:1:1 $\mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{THF}-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}$ at reflux), generating, after hydrolysis ( $10: 1 \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}-7 \mathrm{~N}$ aqueous HCl at room temperature) of the intermediary enol ether, the conjugated enone $6 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{mp} 55-57^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(51 \%\right.$ after $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ chromatography). ${ }^{10}$

On successive exposure to $\mathrm{LiN}\left[\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]_{2}$ ( 3.4 equiv in THF at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) and $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}-t-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{SiCl}(3.2$ equiv in refluxing THF),

[^1]
[^0]:    (1) Aphidicolin, a fungal metabolite with antiviral and antimitotic properties, ${ }^{2}$ finds use as an inhibitor of DNA synthesis. Maritimol, ${ }^{3}$ stemodinol (2b), ${ }^{3}$ stemodinol (2c), ${ }^{4}$ and stemodinone (2d) ${ }^{4}$ occur in stemodia maritima L. (Scrophulariaceae), a plant used in the Caribbean for treatment of venereal disease.

[^1]:    (2) Brudret, K. M.; Dalziel, W.; Hesp, B.; Jarvis, J. A.; Neidle, S. Chem. Commun. 1972, 1027.
    (3) Hufford, C. D.; Guerrero, R. O.; Doorenbos, N. J. J. Pharm. Sci. 1976, 65, 778.
    (4) Manchand, P. S.; White, J. D.; Wright, H.; Clardy, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 2705.
    (5) (a) For successful synthetic approaches to aphidicolin, see: Trost, B. M.; Nishimura, Y.; Yamamoto, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc, 1979, 101, 1328. McMurry, J. E.; Andrus, A.; Ksander, G. M.; Musser, J. H.; Johnson, M. A. Ibid. 1979, 101, 1330. (b) Total synthesis of ( $\pm$ )-aphidicolin: Corey, E. J.; Tius, M. A.; Das, J. Ibid. 1980, 102, 1742. (c) Total synthesis of ( $\pm$ )-stemodin (2c) and (土)-stemodinone (2d): Corey, E. J.; Tius, M. A.; Das, J. Ibid. 1980, 102, 7612.
    (6) Altman, L. J.; Ash, L.; Marson, S. Synthesis 1974, 129
    (7) Wenner, W. J. Org. Chem. 1951, 16, 457.
    (8) IR $2959,1495,1250 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; 60-\mathrm{MHz} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.18(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $1.22(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.58(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) 2.15(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.30-2.70(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.78(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, 4.90-5.40 (1 H, m), 6.45-6.95 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ).
    (9) IR $3413,2941,1489,1252,1200,1033,733 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; 60-\mathrm{MHz}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.90(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.08(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.22(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.16(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.20-2.37$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.73-2.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.24-3.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.80(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.71(1 \mathrm{H}$, s), $6.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{brs})$.
    (10) IR $3450,2940,1670,1605 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; 60-\mathrm{MHz} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.87$ (3 $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.03(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.08(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.13(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.05-3.40(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), 5.70-5.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$.

